MAR 3 0 2005 Serial No. 08/518,051; Navy Case No. 83927 (74023)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on 24 MARCH 2005

by Clear Mitselee

Typed or printed name of Depositor

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner: Mengistu, Amare

Group Art Unit: 2673

In re application of:

STEPHEN D. RUSSELL et al

Serial No.: 08/518,051 Filed: 22 August 2005

For: PROGRAMMABLE GREY-SCALE LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY

## PETITION TO THE COMMISSIONER UNDER 37 CFR 1.181 (REQUESTING WITHDRAWAL OF HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT)

Honorable Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a request to withdraw a holding of Applicants' application as abandoned on the basis that the application was in fact not abandoned. The grounds for this request are provided herein.

Serial No. 08/518,051; Navy Case No. 83927 (74023)

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

Applicants received an Office Action dated 7 July 2003 (hereafter "Office Action") corresponding to the above-indicated patent application and for which a period of response of three months had been set. This shortened period for response provided a due date of 7 October 2003.

On 16 September 2003, a complete response to the Office Action was provided to the U.S. Patent Office via U.S. Mail, certificate of mailing. A return receipt post card was sent accompanying this response, a copy of which is furnished herein as Exhibit A.

Exhibit A identifies the reply, in this case itemized on the post card as: "Amendment, (4 pages) (No Fee)".

As described in a case-file memorandum by the then assigned attorney-of-record Ms. Celia Dunham, Examiner Amare Mengistu telephoned Ms. Dunham on Friday February 20, 2004. Examiner Mengistu left a message requesting Ms. Dunham's confirmation of an abandonment of the case. The following Monday, February 23, 2004, Ms. Dunham contacted the Examiner, but by that time he had issued a Notice of Abandonment. Ms. Dunham informed the Examiner that she had previously sent in a timely response and had no intention of abandoning the case. Examiner Mengistu stated that he would conduct a search for the response and if unsuccessful,

file\p:\patent\pal.pat\nc\83927\83927pet.wpd

MAR 3 0 2005

Serial No. 08/518,051; Navy Case No. 83927 (74023)

would contact Ms. Dunham. There is no further record of the Examiner contacting Ms. Dunham.

POINT OR POINTS TO BE REVIEWED:

Does the evidence presented by Applicants compel a finding of a timely response and thus support a withdrawal of the holding of abandonment?

**ACTION REQUESTED:** 

The Commissioner is hereby respectfully asked to withdraw the holding of abandonment based upon the return receipt post card prima facie evidence of a timely response to the Office Action. It is requested that the above-described application be found to have not, in fact, become abandoned.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter A. Lipovsky

Attorney for Petitioners Registration Number 32580

Petr a. Lyonaly

23 March 2005 Legal Counsel for Patents 20012 Attention: Mr. Peter A. Lipovsky SPAWARSYSCEN, SAN DIEGO 53510 Silvergate Ave Rm 103 San Diego, CA 92152-5765 (619) 553-3824



DEPARTMENT OF



OFFICIAL BUSINESS

**COMMANDING OFFICER** 

OFFICE OF PATENT COUNSEL DOO12

SPAWARSYSCEN SAN DIEGO

MILIATED SILVERGATE AVENUE ROOM 103

- SAN DIEGO CA 92152-5765

74023 (83927)

SERIAL NO.

08/518,051

APPLICANT

Stephen D. Russell et al.

Receipt of the following applications and U. S. Patent and Trademark Office:

> Transmittal Form (PTO/SB/21) Amendment (4 pages) (No Fee) Certification of Mailing

CCD

NC74023

09/16/03

RJM

by official stamp of the SEP 2 2 2003

NAVONR 5870/51 (Rev.8-84)